arXiv:1409.4971v1 [math.AP] 17 Sep 2014

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF FEJÉR MEANS OF WALSH-FOURIER SERIES IN THE SPACE H_p

GEORGE TEPHNADZE

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for a modulus of continuity of a martingale $F \in H_p$, for which Fejér means convergence in H_p-norm, when $0 < p \leq 1/2$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh system, Fejér means, martingale Hardy space, modulus of continuity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Weisz [16] considered the norm convergence of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series and proved that

$$\left\|\sigma_k F\right\|_{H_p} \leq c_p \left\|F\right\|_{H_p}, (F \in H_p, \quad p > 1/2). \tag{1}$$

Goginava in [5] (see also [13]) proved that there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$ $(0 < p \leq 1/2)$, such that

$$\sup_n \left\|\sigma_n F\right\|_p = +\infty.$$

Weisz [18] also considered Fejér means on the subsequence $\{2^n : n \geq 0\}$ and proved that

$$\left\|\sigma_{2^n} F\right\|_{H_p} \leq c_p \left\|F\right\|_{H_p}, \quad (F \in H_p, \quad p > 0). \tag{2}$$

The author [14] proved that if $F \in H_p$ $(0 < p < 1/2)$ and

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^n, F\right) = o\left(1/2^{n(1/p-2)}\right), \text{ as } \quad n \to \infty,$$

then

$$\left\|\sigma_n F - F\right\|_p \to 0, \text{ when } n \to \infty. \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, it was shown that there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$ $(0 < p < 1/2)$, for which

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^n, F\right) = O\left(1/2^{n(1/p-2)}\right), \text{ as } \quad n \to \infty$$

and (3) does not holds, as $n \to \infty$.

The research was supported by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant no.52/54 (Bounded operators on the martingale Hardy spaces).

The author [14] also consider endpoint case $p = 1/2$ and proved that if $F \in H_{1/2}$ and

$$\omega_{H_{1/2}}\left(1/2^n, F\right) = o\left(1/n^2\right), \text{ as } n \to \infty, \tag{4}$$

then

$$\|\sigma_n F - F\|_{1/2} \to 0, \text{ when } n \to \infty.$$

Moreover, condition (4) is sharp in the same sense.

The results for summability of Fejér means of Walsh-Fourier series can be found in [1], [4], [8, 9, 10], [16].

The main aim of this paper is to generalize inequality (1) and find necessary and sufficient conditions on sequences $\{n_k : k \geq 0\}$, for which

$$\|\sigma_{n_k} F - F\|_p \to 0, \quad \text{when } k \to \infty, \quad (F \in H_p, \ 0 < p \leq 1/2), \tag{5}$$

Moreover, we prove some estimates for the Fejér means and show the sharpness of them, when $0 < p \leq 1/2$ (see Theorems 1 and 2). By applying this estimates we find the necessary and sufficient conditions for a modulus of continuity of a martingale $F \in H_p$, for which (5) holds, when $0 < p \leq 1/2$ (see Theorems 3 and 4).

2. Definitions and Notations

Let $\mathbb{N}_+$ denote the set of the positive integers, $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 := \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given so that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$.

Define the group G as the complete direct product of the group Z_2, with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_2's. The elements of G are represented by sequences $x := (x_0, x_1, ..., x_j, ...)$, where $x_k = 0 \vee 1$.

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhood of $x \in G$

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G : y_0 = x_0, ..., y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\} \ (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Denote $I_n := I_n(0)$, $\overline{I_n} := G \setminus I_n$ and $e_n := (0, ..., 0, x_n = 1, 0, ...) \in G$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then it is easy to show that

$$\overline{I_M} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{M-1} I_i \backslash I_{i+1} = \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-2} \bigcup_{l=k+1}^{M-1} I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l) \right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{M-1} I_M(e_k) \right). \tag{6}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then every n can be uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_j 2^j$, where $n_j \in Z_2$ $(j \in \mathbb{N})$ and only a finite numbers of n_j differ from zero.

Let

$$[n] := \min\{j \in \mathbb{N}, n_j \neq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad |n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N}, n_j \neq 0\},$$

that is $2^{|n|} \leq n \leq 2^{|n|+1}$. Set

$$d(n) = |n| - [n], \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Define the variation of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with binary coefficients $(n_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$, by

$$V(n) = n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |n_k - n_{k-1}|. \tag{7}$$

Every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ can be also represented as $n = \sum_{i=1}^{r} 2^{n_i}, n_1 > n_2 > ...n_r \geq 0$. For such representation of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let denote numbers

$$n^{(i)} = 2^{n_{i+1}} + ... + 2^{n_r}, i = 1, ..., r.$$

The norms (or quasi-norm) of the spaces $L_p(G)$ and $L_{p,\infty}(G)$, $(0 < p < \infty)$ are respectively defined by

$$\|f\|_p^p := \int_G |f|^p \, d\mu, \qquad \|f\|_{L_{p,\infty}(G)}^p := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^p \mu(f > \lambda) < +\infty,$$

The k-th Rademacher function is defined by

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \qquad (x \in G, \ k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Now, define the Walsh system $w := (w_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ on G as:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x) = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh system is orthonormal and complete in $L_2(G)$ (see [11]).

If $f \in L_1(G)$, we can establish Fourier coefficients, partial sums of Fourier series, Fejér means, Dirichlet and Fejér kernels in the usual manner:

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \int_G f w_n d\mu, \ (n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad S_n f := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(k) w_k, \ (n \in \mathbb{N}_+, S_0 f := 0),$$

$$\sigma_n f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_k f, \quad D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k, \quad K_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k, \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_+).$$

Recall that (see ([11]))

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } x \in I_n \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin I_n. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Let $n = \sum_{i=1}^{r} 2^{n_i}$, $n_1 > n_2 > ... > n_r \geq 0$. Then (see [7] and [11])

$$nK_n = \sum_{A=1}^{r} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{A-1} w_{2^{n_j}} \right) \left(2^{n_A} K_{2^{n_A}} - n^{(A)} D_{2^{n_A}} \right). \tag{9}$$

The σ-algebra, generated by the intervals $\{I_n(x) : x \in G\}$ will be denoted by ζ_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Denote by $F = (F_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ a martingale with respect to ζ_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$. (for details see e.g. [17]). The maximal function of a martingale F is defined by

$$F^* := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |F_n|.$$

In case $f\in L_1\left(G\right)$, the maximal functions are also be given by

$$f^{*}\left(x\right):=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{1}{\mu\left(I_n\left(x\right)\right)}\left|\int_{I_n\left(x\right)}f\left(u\right)d\mu\left(u\right)\right|\right).$$

For $0<p<\infty$, Hardy martingale spaces $H_p\left(G\right)$ consist all martingales, for which

$$\left\|F\right\|_{H_p}:=\left\|F^{*}\right\|_p<\infty.$$

The best approximation of $f\in L_p(G)$ $\left(1\leq p\in\infty\right)$ is defined as

$$E_n\left(f,L_p\right):=\inf_{\psi\in p_n}\left\|f-\psi\right\|_p,$$

where p_n is set of all Walsh polynomials of order less than $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

The modulus of continuity of the function $f\in L_p\left(G\right)$, is defined by

$$\omega_p\left(1/2^n,f\right):=\sup_{h\in I_n}\left\|f\left(\cdot+h\right)-f\left(\cdot\right)\right\|_p.$$

The concept of modulus of continuity in $H_p(G)$ $\left(p>0\right)$ is defined in the following way

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^n,f\right):=\left\|f-S_{2^n}f\right\|_{H_p}.$$

Since $\left\|f\right\|_{H_p}\sim\left\|f\right\|_p$, for $p>1$, we obtain that $\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^n,f\right)\sim\left\|f-S_{2^n}f\right\|_p$, $p>1$. On the other hand, there are strong connection among this definitions:

$$\omega_p\left(1/2^n,f\right)/2\leq\left\|f-S_{2^n}f\right\|_p\leq\omega_p\left(1/2^n,f\right),$$

and

$$\left\|f-S_{2^n}f\right\|_p/2\leq E_{2^n}\left(f,L_p\right)\leq\left\|f-S_{2^n}f\right\|_p.$$

A bounded measurable function a is p-atom, if there exists an interval I, such that

$$\int_I ad\mu=0,\quad\left\|a\right\|_{\infty}\leq\mu\left(I\right)^{-1/p},\quad\text{supp}\left(a\right)\subset I.$$

It is easy to check that for every martingale $F=\left(F_n,n\in\mathbb{N}\right)$ and every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ the limit

$$\widehat{F}\left(k\right):=\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}\int_G F_n\left(x\right)w_k\left(x\right)d\mu\left(x\right)$$

exists and it is called the k-th Walsh-Fourier coefficients of F.

The Walsh-Fourier coefficients of $f\in L_1\left(G\right)$ are the same as those of the martingale $\left(S_{M_n}\left(f\right):n\in\mathbb{N}\right)$ obtained from f.

For the martingale $F=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(F_n-F_{n-1}\right)$ the conjugate transforms are defined as $\widetilde{F^{(t)}}=\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty}r_n\left(t\right)\left(F_n-F_{n-1}\right)$, where $t\in G$ is fixed. Note that $\widetilde{F^{(0)}}=F$. As is well known (see [17])

$$\left\|\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_{H_p}=\left\|F\right\|_{H_p},\quad\left\|F\right\|_{H_p}^{p}\sim\int_G\left\|\widetilde{F^{(t)}}\right\|_p^{p}dt,\quad\widetilde{\left(\sigma_nF\right)^{(t)}}=\sigma_n\widetilde{F^{(t)}}.\tag{10}$$

3. Formulation of Main Results

Theorem 1. *a) Let $F \in H_{1/2}$. Then there exists an absolute constant c, such that*

$$\|\sigma_n F\|_{H_{1/2}} \leq cV^2(n)\|F\|_{H_{1/2}}.$$

b) Let $\{n_k : k \geq 0\}$ be subsequence of positive integers $\mathbb{N}_+$, such that $\sup_k V(n_k) = \infty$ and $\Phi : \mathbb{N}_+ \to [1,\infty)$ be any nondecreasing, nonnegative function, satisfying conditions $\Phi(n) \uparrow \infty$ and

$$\overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}} \frac{V^2(n_k)}{\Phi(n_k)} = \infty. \tag{11}$$

Then there exists a martingale $F \in H_{1/2}$, such that

$$\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \left\| \frac{\sigma_{n_k} F}{\Phi(n_k)} \right\|_{1/2} = \infty.$$

Theorem 2. *a) Let $0 < p < 1/2$, $F \in H_p$. Then there exists an absolute constant c_p, defending only on p, such that*

$$\|\sigma_n F\|_{H_p} \leq c_p 2^{d(n)(1/p-2)}\|F\|_{H_p}.$$

b) Let $0 < p < 1/2$ and $\Phi(n)$ be any nondecreasing function, such that

$$\sup_k d(n_k) = \infty, \quad \overline{\lim_{k\to\infty}} \frac{2^{d(n_k)(1/p-2)}}{\Phi(n_k)} = \infty. \tag{12}$$

Then there exists a martingale $F \in H_p$, such that

$$\sup_k \left\| \frac{\sigma_{n_k} F}{\Phi(n_k)} \right\|_{L_{p,\infty}} = \infty.$$

Theorem 3. *a) Let $F \in H_{1/2}$, $\sup_k V(n_k) = \infty$ and*

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^{|n_k|}, F\right) = o\left(1/V^2(n_k)\right), \ \text{as} \ \ k \to \infty. \tag{13}$$

Then (5) holds, for $p = 1/2$.

b) Let $\sup_k V(n_k) = \infty$. Then there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}(G)$, for which

$$\omega_{H_{1/2}}\left(1/2^{|n_k|}, F\right) = O\left(1/V^2(n_k)\right), \quad \text{as} \ \ k \to \infty \tag{14}$$

and

$$\|\sigma_{n_k} F - F\|_{1/2} \nrightarrow 0, \ \ \text{as} \ \ k \to \infty. \tag{15}$$

Theorem 4. *a) Let $0 < p < 1/2$, $F \in H_p$, $\sup_k d(n_k) = \infty$ and*

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^{|n_k|}, F\right) = o\left(1/2^{d(n_k)(1/p-2)}\right), \ \text{as} \ \ k \to \infty. \tag{16}$$

Then (5) holds.

b) Let $\sup_k d(n_k) = \infty$. *Then there exists a martingale* $F \in H_p(G)$ $(0 < p < 1/2)$, *for which*

$$\omega_{H_p}\left(1/2^{|n_k|}, F\right) = O\left(1/2^{d(n_k)(1/p-2)}\right), \quad as \quad k \to \infty \tag{17}$$

and

$$\|\sigma_{n_k}F - F\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \nrightarrow 0, \quad as \quad k \to \infty. \tag{18}$$

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

Lemma 1 (**Weisz** [18] **(see also Simon** [12])). *A martingale* $F = (F_n,\ n \in \mathbb{N})$ *is in* $H_p\,(0 < p \leq 1)$ *if and only if there exists a sequence* $(a_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ *of p-atoms and a sequence* $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbb{N})$ *of a real numbers, such that for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n} a_k = F_n, \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty. \tag{19}$$

Moreover, $\|F\|_{H_p} \backsim \inf\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p\right)^{1/p}$, where the infimum is taken over all decomposition of F of the form (19).

Lemma 2 (**Weisz** [17]). *Suppose that an operator* T *is* σ*-linear and*

$$\int_{\overline{I}} |Ta|^p \, d\mu \leq c_p < \infty, \quad (0 < p \leq 1)$$

for every p-atom a,where I *denote the support of the atom. If* T *is bounded from* L_∞ *to* L_∞, *then*

$$\|TF\|_p \leq c_p \|F\|_{H_p}.$$

Lemma 3 (**see e.g.** [7], [11]). *Let* $t, n \in \mathbb{N}$. *Then*

$$K_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{t-1}, \ \textit{if} \quad x \in I_n(e_t),\ n > t,\ x \in I_t \backslash I_{t+1}, \\ (2^n + 1)/2, \ \textit{if} \quad x \in I_n, \\ 0, \ \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4 (**Tephnadze** [15]). *Let* $n = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i} 2^k$, *where* $m_1 \geq l_1 > l_1 - 2 \geq m_2 \geq l_2 > l_2 - 2 > ... > m_s \geq l_s \geq 0$. *Then*

$$n\,|K_n(x)| \geq 2^{2l_i - 4}, \quad for \quad x \in E_{l_i} := I_{l_i+1}(e_{l_i-1} + e_{l_i}),$$

where $I_1(e_{-1} + e_0) = I_2(e_0 + e_1)$.

Lemma 5 (**Goginava** [6]). *Let* $x \in I_M^{k,l}$, $k = 0, ..., M-1$, $l = k+1, ..., M$. *Then*

$$\int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| \, d\mu(t) \leq c 2^{k+l-2M}, \text{ for } n \geq 2^M.$$

Lemma 6. *Let $n=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{k=l_i}^{m_i}2^k$, where $m_1\geq l_1>l_1-2\geq m_2\geq l_2>l_2-2>...>m_s\geq l_s\geq 0$. Then*

$$|nK_n|\leq c\sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(2^{l_A}\left|K_{2^{l_A}}\right|+2^{m_A}\left|K_{2^{m_A}}\right|+2^{l_A}\sum_{k=l_A}^{m_A}D_{2^k}\right)+cV\left(n\right).$$

Proof. Let $n=\sum_{i=1}^{r}2^{n_i}$, $n_1>n_2>...>n_r\geq 0$. By using (9) we get that

$$nK_n=\sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{A-1}w_{2^{n_j}}\right)\left(\left(2^{n_A}K_{2^{n_A}}+\left(2^{n_A}-1\right)D_{2^{n_A}}\right)\right)$$

$$-\sum_{A=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{A-1}w_{2^{n_j}}\right)\left(2^{n_A}-1-n^{(A)}\right)D_{2^{n_A}}=I_1-I_2.$$

For I_1 we have that

$$I_1=\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(\sum_{k=l_v}^{m_v}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_v}w_{2^j}\right)\left(2^kK_{2^k}-\left(2^k-1\right)D_{2^k}\right)\right)$$

$$=\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_v}-\sum_{k=0}^{l_v-1}\right)\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_v}w_{2^j}\right)\left(2^kK_{2^k}-\left(2^k-1\right)D_{2^k}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{m_v}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m_v}w_{2^j}\right)\left(2^kK_{2^k}-\left(2^k-1\right)D_{2^k}\right)\right)$$

$$-\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{l_v-1}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{l_v-1}w_{2^j}\right)\left(2^kK_{2^k}-\left(2^k-1\right)D_{2^k}\right)\right).$$

Since $2^n-1=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}2^k$ and

$$\left(2^n-1\right)K_{2^n-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{n-1}w_{2^j}\right)\left(2^kK_{2^k}-\left(2^k-1\right)D_{2^k}\right),$$

we obtain that

$$I_1=\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v-1}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(2^{m_v+1}-1\right)K_{2^{m_v+1}-1}$$

$$-\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{v}\prod_{i=l_j}^{m_j}w_{2^i}\right)\left(2^{l_v}-1\right)K_{2^{l_v}-1}.$$

By using $\left|K_{2^n}\right|\leq c\left|K_{2^{n-1}}\right|,\left|K_{2^n-1}\right|\leq c\left|K_{2^n}\right|+c$, we have that

$$|I_1|\leq c\sum_{v=1}^{r}\left(2^{l_v}\left|K_{2^{l_v}}\right|+2^{m_v}\left|K_{2^{m_v}}\right|+cr\right). \tag{20}$$

Let $l_j < n_A \leq m_j$, for some $j = 1, ..., s$. Then $n^{(A)} \geq \sum_{v=l_j}^{n_A-1} 2^v \geq 2^{n_A} - 2^{l_j}$ and $2^{n_A} - 1 - n^{(A)} \leq 2^{l_j}$. If $l_j = n_A$ for some $j = 1, ..., s$. Then $n^{(A)} \leq 2^{m_{j-1}+1} < 2^{l_j}$. Hence

$$|I_2| \leq c \sum_{v=1}^{r} 2^{l_v} \sum_{k=l_v}^{m_v} D_{2^k}. \tag{21}$$

By combining (20)-(21) we complete the proof of Lemma 6. □

5. Proof of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that

$$\left\| \frac{\sigma_n F}{V^2(n)} \right\|_{1/2} \leq c \|F\|_{H_{1/2}}. \tag{22}$$

By combining (10) and (22) we have that

$$\left\| \frac{\sigma_n F}{V^2(n)} \right\|_{H_{1/2}} \sim \int_G \left\| \frac{\widetilde{(\sigma_n F)^{(t)}}}{V^2(n)} \right\|_{1/2} d\mu(t) \tag{23}$$

$$= \int_G \left\| \frac{\sigma_n \widetilde{F^{(t)}}}{V^2(n)} \right\|_{1/2} d\mu(t) \leq c \int_G \left\| \widetilde{F^{(t)}} \right\|_{H_{1/2}} d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq c \int_G \|F\|_{H_{1/2}} d\mu(t) = c \|F\|_{H_{1/2}}.$$

By Lemma 2 and (23), the proof of theorem 1 will be complete, if we show that

$$\int_{\overline{I_M}} \left(\frac{|\sigma_n a(x)|}{V^2(n)} \right)^{1/2} d\mu(x) \leq c < \infty,$$

for every $1/2$-atom a. We may assume that a be an arbitrary $1/2$-atom, with support I, $\mu(I) = 2^{-M}$ and $I = I_M$. It is easy to see that $\sigma_n(a) = 0$, when $n \leq 2^M$. Therefore, we can suppose that $n > 2^M$.

Set

$$II^1_{\alpha_A}(x) := 2^M \int_{I_M} 2^{\alpha_A} |K_{2^{\alpha_A}}(x+t)| \, d\mu(t),$$

$$II^2_{l_A}(x) := 2^M \int_{I_M} 2^{l_A} \sum_{k=l_A}^{m_A} D_{2^k}(x+t) \, d\mu(t),$$

Let $x \in I_M$. Since σ_n is bounded from L_∞ to L_∞, $n > 2^M$ and $\|a\|_\infty \leq 2^{2M}$, by using Lemma 6 we obtain that

$$\frac{|\sigma_n a(x)|}{V^2(n)} \leq \frac{c}{V^2(n)} \int_{I_M} |a(x)| \, |K_n(x+t)| \, d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{\|a\|_\infty}{V^2(n)} \int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| \, d\mu(t) \leq \frac{c 2^{2M}}{V^2(n)} \int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| \, d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq \frac{c2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)}\sum_{A=1}^{s}\int_{I_{M}}2^{l_{A}}\left|K_{2^{l_{A}}}(x+t)\right|d\mu(t)$$

$$+\frac{c2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)}\int_{I_{M}}2^{m_{A}}\left|K_{2^{m_{A}}}(x+t)\right|d\mu(t)$$

$$+\frac{c2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)}\sum_{A=1}^{s}\int_{I_{M}}2^{l_{A}}\sum_{k=l_{A}}^{m_{A}}D_{2^{k}}(x+t)\,d\mu(t)+\frac{c2^{M}}{V^{2}(n)}\int_{I_{M}}V(n)\,d\mu(t).$$

$$\frac{c}{V^{2}(n)}\sum_{A=1}^{s}\left(II_{l_{A}}^{1}(x)+II_{m_{A}}^{1}(x)+II_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right)+c.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|\frac{\sigma_{n}a(x)}{V^{2}(n)}\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{V(n)}\left(\sum_{A=1}^{s}\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{l_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\right.$$

$$\left.+\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{m_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)+\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\right)+c$$

Since $s\leq 4V(n)$, we obtain that Theorem 1 will be proved if we show that

$$\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\leq c<\infty,\ \int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{l_{A}}^{2}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)\leq c<\infty, \tag{24}$$

where $\alpha_{A}=l_{A}$ or $\alpha_{A}=m_{A}$, $A=1,...,s$.

Let $t\in I_{M}$ and $x\in I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l})$, $0\leq k<l<\alpha_{A}\leq M$ or $0\leq k<l\leq M\leq\alpha_{A}$. Since $x+t\in I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l})$, by using Lemma 3 we obtain that

$$K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)=0\ \text{ and }\ II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)=0. \tag{25}$$

Let $x\in I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l})$, $0\leq k<\alpha_{A}\leq l\leq M$. Then $x+t\in I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l})$, for $t\in I_{M}$ and if we apply lemma 3 we get that

$$2^{\alpha_{A}}\left|K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)\right|\leq 2^{\alpha_{A}+k}\ \text{ and }\ II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\leq 2^{\alpha_{A}+k}. \tag{26}$$

Analogously to (26) we can show that if $0\leq\alpha_{A}\leq k<l\leq M$, then

$$2^{\alpha_{A}}\left|K_{2^{\alpha_{A}}}(x+t)\right|\leq 2^{2\alpha_{A}},\ \ II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\leq 2^{2\alpha_{A}},\ t\in I_{M},\ x\in I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l}), \tag{27}$$

Let $0\leq\alpha_{A}\leq M-1$. By combining (6) and (25-27) we have that

$$\int_{\overline{I_{M}}}\left|II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)$$

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\int_{I_{l+1}(e_{k}+e_{l})}\left|II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)+\sum_{k=0}^{M-1}\int_{I_{M}(e_{k})}\left|II_{\alpha_{A}}^{1}(x)\right|^{1/2}d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_A-1}\sum_{l=\alpha_A+1}^{M-1}\int_{I_{l+1}(e_k+e_l)}2^{(\alpha_A+k)/2}d\mu\left(x\right)+c\sum_{k=\alpha_A}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\int_{I_{l+1}(e_k+e_l)}2^{\alpha_A}d\mu\left(x\right)$$

$$+c\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_A-1}\int_{I_M(e_k)}2^{(\alpha_A+k)/2}d\mu\left(x\right)+c\sum_{k=\alpha_A}^{M-1}\int_{I_M(e_k)}2^{\alpha_A}d\mu\left(x\right)$$

$$\leq c\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_A-1}\sum_{l=\alpha_A+1}^{M-1}\frac{2^{(\alpha_A+k)/2}}{2^l}+c\sum_{k=\alpha_A}^{M-2}\sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1}\frac{2^{\alpha_A}}{2^l}+c\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha_A-1}\frac{2^{(\alpha_A+k)/2}}{2^M}+c\sum_{k=\alpha_A}^{M-1}\frac{2^{\alpha_A}}{2^M}$$

$$\leq c<\infty,\quad \text{for any } A=1,...,s.$$

Let $\alpha_A\geq M$. Analogously we can show that (24) holds, for $II_{\alpha_A}^1\left(x\right)$, $A=1,...,s$.

Now, prove boundedness of $II_{l_A}^2$. Let $t\in I_M$ and $x\in I_i\backslash I_{i+1}$, $i\leq l_A-1$. Since $x+t\in I_i\backslash I_{i+1}$, by using (8) we have that

$$II_{l_A}^2\left(x\right)=0. \tag{28}$$

Let $x\in I_i\backslash I_{i+1}$, $l_A\leq i\leq m_A$. Since $n\geq 2^M$ and $t\in I_M$, by using (8) we obtain that

$$II_{l_A}^2\left(x\right)\leq 2^M\int_{I_M}2^{l_A}\sum_{k=l_A}^{i}D_{2^k}\left(x+t\right)d\mu\left(t\right)\leq c2^{l_A+i}. \tag{29}$$

Let $x\in I_i\backslash I_{i+1}$, $m_A<i\leq M-1$. By using (8) we get that $x+t\in I_i\backslash I_{i+1}$, for $t\in I_M$ and

$$II_{l_A}^2\left(x\right)\leq c2^M\int_{I_M}2^{l_A+m_A}\leq c2^{l_A+m_A}. \tag{30}$$

Let $0\leq l_A\leq m_A\leq M$. By combining (6) and (28-30) we get that

$$\int_{\overline{I_M}}\left|II_{l_A}^2\left(x\right)\right|^{1/2}d\mu\left(x\right)=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{l_A-1}+\sum_{i=l_A}^{m_A}+\sum_{i=m_A+1}^{M-1}\right)\int_{I_i\backslash I_{i+1}}\left|II_{l_A}^2\left(x\right)\right|^{1/2}d\mu\left(x\right)$$

$$\leq c\sum_{i=l_A}^{m_A}\int_{I_i\backslash I_{i+1}}2^{(l_A+i)/2}d\mu\left(x\right)+c\sum_{i=m_A+1}^{M-1}\int_{I_i\backslash I_{i+1}}2^{(l_A+m_A)/2}d\mu\left(x\right)$$

$$\leq c\sum_{i=l_A}^{m_A}2^{(l_A+i)/2}\frac{1}{2^i}+c\sum_{i=m_A+1}^{M-1}2^{(l_A+m_A)/2}\frac{1}{2^i}\leq c<\infty.$$

Analogously we can prove, when $0\leq l_A\leq M<m_A$ or $M\leq l_A\leq m_A$.

Now, prove second part of Theorem 1. Under condition (11), there exists an increasing sequence $\{\alpha_k:\ k\geq 0\}\subset\{n_k\ :k\geq 0\}$ of the positive integers, such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Phi^{1/4}\left(\alpha_k\right)/V^{1/2}\left(\alpha_k\right)\leq c<\infty. \tag{31}$$

Let

$$F_A := \sum_{\{k;\ |\alpha_k|<A\}} \lambda_k a_k,$$

$$\lambda_k := \Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)/V(\alpha_k),\ a_k := 2^{|\alpha_k|}\left(D_{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right).$$

Since

$$S_{2^A} a_k = \begin{cases} a_k & |\alpha_k| < A, \\ 0 & |\alpha_k| \geq A, \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(a_k) = I_{|\alpha_k|},\ \int_{I_{|\alpha_k|}} a_k d\mu = 0,\ \|a_k\|_\infty \leq 2^{2|\alpha_k|} = \mu(\operatorname{supp}\ a_k)^{-2},$$

if we apply Lemma 1 and (31) we conclude that $F = (F_1, F_2, ...) \in H_{1/2}$.

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{F}(j) \tag{33}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)/V(\alpha_k), & j \in \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\},\ k = 0, 1, ... \\ 0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_k|} < j < \alpha_k$. By using (33) we get that

(34)

$$S_j F = S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_k|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v = S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F + \frac{\left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right) 2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}{V(\alpha_k)}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\sigma_{\alpha_k} F}{\Phi(\alpha_k)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{|\alpha_k|}} S_j F + \frac{1}{\Phi(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|}+1}^{\alpha_k} S_j F \tag{35}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F}{\Phi(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} + \frac{\left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F}{\Phi(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} + \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}{\Phi(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|}+1}^{\alpha_k} \left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right)$$

$$= III_1 + III_2 + III_3.$$

Since

$$D_{j+2^m} = D_{2^m} + w_{2^m} D_j, \qquad \text{when}\ \ j < 2^m \tag{36}$$

we obtain that

$$|III_3| = \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}{\Phi(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \left(D_{j+2^{|\alpha_k|}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right) \right| \tag{37}$$

$$= \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}{\Phi(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} D_j \right| = \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}{\Phi(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k)\alpha_k} \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) \left| K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right|$$

$$\geq c\left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) \left| K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right| / \left(\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k)\right).$$

Let $\alpha_k = \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} \sum_{k=l_i^k}^{m_i^k} 2^k$, where $m_1^k \geq l_1^k > l_1^k - 2 \geq m_2^k \geq l_2^k > l_2^k - 2 \geq ... \geq m_s^k \geq l_s^k \geq 0$. Since (see Theorem 1 and (1)) $\|III_1\|_{1/2} \leq c$, $\|III_2\|_{1/2} \leq c$, $\mu\left\{E_{l_i^k}\right\} \geq 1/2^{l_i^k - 1}$, by combining (35), (37) and Lemma 4 we obtain that

$$\int_G |\sigma_{\alpha_k} F(x)/\Phi(\alpha_k)|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \geq \|III_3\|_{1/2}^{1/2} - \|III_2\|_{1/2}^{1/2} - \|III_1\|_{1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$\geq c \sum_{i=2}^{s_k-2} \int_{E_{l_i^k}} \left| 2^{2l_i^k} / \left(\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k) V(\alpha_k) \right) \right|^{1/2} d\mu(x)$$

$$\geq c \sum_{i=2}^{s_k-2} 1/\left(V^{1/2}(\alpha_k) \Phi^{1/4}(\alpha_k) \right) \geq c s_k / \left(V^{1/2}(\alpha_k) \Phi^{1/4}(\alpha_k) \right)$$

$$\geq c V^{1/2}(\alpha_k) / \Phi^{1/4}(\alpha_k) \to \infty, \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Theorem 1 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. After analogously steps of (23) we only have show that

$$\int_{\overline{I}_M} \left(2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} |\sigma_n(a)| \right)^p d\mu \leq c_p < \infty,$$

for every p-atom a, where I denotes the support of the atom.

Analogously of first part of Theorem 1, we may assume that a be an arbitrary p-atom, with support $I = I_M$, $\mu(I_M) = 2^{-M}$ and $n > 2^M$. Since $\|a\|_\infty \leq 2^{M/p}$ we can write that

$$2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} |\sigma_n(a)| \leq 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} \|a\|_\infty \int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| d\mu(t)$$

$$\leq 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} 2^{M/p} \int_{I_M} |K_n(x+t)| d\mu(t).$$

Let $x \in I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l)$, $0 \leq k, l \leq [n] \leq M$. Then from Lemma 3 we get that $K_n(x+t) = 0$, for $t \in I_M$ and

$$2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} |\sigma_n(a)| = 0. \tag{38}$$

Let $x \in I_{l+1}(e_k + e_l)$, $[n] \leq k, l \leq M$ or $k \leq [n] \leq l \leq M$. By using Lemma 5 we can write that

$$2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} |\sigma_n(a)| \leq 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} 2^{M(1/p-2)+k+l} \tag{39}$$

$$\leq c_p 2^{[n](1/p-2)+k+l}.$$

By combining (6), (38) and (39) we obtain that

$$\int_{\overline{I}_M} \left| 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} \sigma_n a(x) \right|^p d\mu(x)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{[n]-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{[n]-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{[n]-1} \sum_{l=[n]}^{M-1} + \sum_{k=[n]}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \right) \int_{I_{l+1}(e_k+e_l)} \left| 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} \sigma_n a\left(x\right) \right|^p d\mu\left(x\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \int_{I_M(e_k)} \left| 2^{d(n)(2-1/p)} \sigma_n a\left(x\right) \right|^p d\mu\left(x\right) \leq c_p \sum_{k=[n]}^{M-2} \sum_{l=k+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^l} 2^{[n](2p-1)} 2^{p(k+l)}$$

$$+ c_p \sum_{k=0}^{[n]} \sum_{l=[n]+1}^{M-1} \frac{1}{2^l} 2^{[n](2p-1)} 2^{p(k+l)} + \frac{c_p 2^{[n](2p-1)}}{2^M} \sum_{k=0}^{[n]} 2^{p(k+M)} < c_p < \infty.$$

Now, prove second part of Theorem 2. Under conditions (12), there exists sequence $\{\alpha_k : \ k \geq 0\} \subset \{n_k : \ k \geq 0\}$, such that $\alpha_0 \geq 3$ and

$$\sum_{\eta=0}^{\infty} u^{-p}\left(\alpha_\eta\right) < c_p < \infty, \ u\left(\alpha_k\right) = 2^{d(\alpha_k)(1/p-2)/2} / \Phi^{1/2}\left(\alpha_k\right). \tag{40}$$

Let

$$F_A = \sum_{\{k; \ |\alpha_k|<A\}} a_k^p / u\left(\alpha_k\right), \quad a_k^{(p)} = 2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)} \left(D_{2^{|\alpha_k|+1}} - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right).$$

If we apply Lemma 1 and (40), analogously to second part of Theorem 2, we conclude that $F \in H_p$.

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{F}(j) \tag{41}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)} / u\left(\alpha_k\right), & j \in \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\}, \ k = 0, 1, ... \\ 0, & j \notin \bigcup\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1}-1\right\}. \end{cases}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_k|} < j < \alpha_k$. By applying (41), analogously to (34) and (35) we get that

$$\frac{\sigma_{\alpha_k} F}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right)} = \frac{\sigma_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) \alpha_k} + \frac{\left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) \alpha_k}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) u\left(\alpha_k\right) \alpha_k} \sum_{j=2^{|\alpha_k|}}^{\alpha_k-1} \left(D_j - D_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right) = IV_1 + IV_2 + IV_3.$$

Let $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E_{[\alpha_k]} := I_{[\alpha_k]+1}\left(e_{[\alpha_k]-1} + e_{[\alpha_k]}\right)$. Since $\left[\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right] = [\alpha_k]$, By combining (9), (36) and Lemma 3, analogously to (37), for IV_3 we have that

$$|IV_3| = \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) u\left(\alpha_k\right) \alpha_k} \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \right) \left| K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \right|$$

$$= \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-1)}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) u\left(\alpha_k\right) \alpha_k} \left| 2^{[\alpha_k]} K_{[\alpha_k]} \right| \geq \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-2)} 2^{2[\alpha_k]-4}}{\Phi\left(\alpha_k\right) u\left(\alpha_k\right)}$$

$$\geq 2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-2)/2} 2^{2[\alpha_k]-4} / \Phi^{1/2}\left(\alpha_k\right).$$

It follows that

$$\|IV_3\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-2)/2}2^{2[\alpha_k]-4}}{\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}\right)^p \mu\left\{x\in G:\ |IV_3|\geq \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|(1/p-2)/2}2^{2[\alpha_k]-4}}{\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)}\right\}$$

$$\geq c_p\left(2^{2[\alpha_k]+|\alpha_k|(1/p-2)/2}/\Phi^{1/2}(\alpha_k)\right)^p \mu\{\Theta_{\alpha_k}\}$$

$$\geq c_p\left(2^{(|\alpha_k|-[\alpha_k])(1/p-2)}/\Phi(\alpha_k)\right)^{p/2} = c_p\left(2^{d(\alpha_k)(1/p-2)}/\Phi(\alpha_k)\right)^{p/2}\to\infty,\ \text{as } k\to\infty.$$

By combining (40) and first part of Theorem 2 (see also (2)) we have that $\|IV_1\|_{L_{p,\infty}}\leq c_p<\infty$, $\|IV_2\|_{L_{p,\infty}}\leq c_p<\infty$ and

$$\|\sigma_{\alpha_k}F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p\geq \|IV_3\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p-\|IV_2\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p-\|IV_1\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p\to\infty,\ \text{as } k\to\infty.$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $F\in H_{1/2}$ and $2^k<n\leq 2^{k+1}$. Then

$$\|\sigma_nF-F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \|\sigma_nF-\sigma_nS_{2^k}F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}+\|\sigma_nS_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}+\|S_{2^k}F-F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$=\|\sigma_n(S_{2^k}F-F)\|_{1/2}^{1/2}+\|S_{2^k}F-F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}+\|\sigma_nS_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq c_p\left(V^2(n)+1\right)\omega_{H_{1/2}}^{1/2}\left(1/2^k,F\right)+\|\sigma_nS_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}.$$

By simple calculation we get that

$$\sigma_nS_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F=\frac{2^k}{n}\left(S_{2^k}\sigma_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F\right)=\frac{2^k}{n}S_{2^k}\left(\sigma_{2^k}F-F\right).$$

Let $p>0$. Then (see inequality (2))

$$\|\sigma_nS_{2^k}F-S_{2^k}F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{2^{k/2}}{n^{1/2}}\|S_{2^k}(\sigma_{2^k}F-F)\|_{1/2}^{1/2}\leq \|\sigma_{2^k}F-F\|_{H_{1/2}}^{1/2}\to 0,\ \text{as } k\to\infty.$$

Now, proof second part of theorem 3. Since $\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}}(\alpha_k)=\infty$, there exists sequence $\{\alpha_k:k\geq 1\}\subset\{n_k:k\geq 1\}$ such that $V(\alpha_k)\uparrow\infty$, as $k\to\infty$ and

$$V^2(\alpha_k)\leq V(\alpha_{k+1}). \tag{42}$$

We set

$$F_A=\sum_{\{i:\ |\alpha_i|<A\}} a_i^{1/2}/V^2(\alpha_i).$$

Since $a_i^{1/2}(x)$ is $1/2$-atom if we apply Lemma 1 and (42) we conclude that $F\in H_{1/2}$. Moreover,

$$F-S_{2^n}F=(F_1-S_{2^n}F_1,...,F_n-S_{2^n}F_n,...,F_{n+k}-S_{2^n}F_{n+k}) \tag{43}$$

$$=(0,...,0,F_{n+1}-S_{2^n}F_{n+1},...,F_{n+k}-S_{2^n}F_{n+k},...)$$

$$= \left(0, ..., 0, \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} a_i^1 / V^2(\alpha_i), ... \right), \ k \in \mathbb{N}_+$$

is martingale and by combining (43) and Lemma 1 we get that

$$\|F - S_{2^n} F\|_{H_{1/2}} \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 1/V^2(\alpha_i) = O\left(1/V^2(\alpha_n)\right). \tag{44}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{F}(j) = \begin{cases} 2^{|\alpha_k|}/V^2(\alpha_k), & j \in \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\right\}, \ k = 0, 1, ... \\ 0, & j \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\right\}. \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

Let $2^{|\alpha_k|} < j \leq \alpha_k$. By using (36) we have that

$$S_j F = S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F + \sum_{v=2^{|\alpha_k|}}^{j-1} \widehat{F}(v) w_v = S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F + \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|} w_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} D_{j-2^{|\alpha_k|}}}{V^2(\alpha_k)}.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{\alpha_k} F - F = \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k} \left(\sigma_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}(F) - F \right) \tag{46}$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k} \left(S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F - F \right) + \frac{2^{|\alpha_k|} w_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \right) K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}}{\alpha_k V^2(\alpha_k)}.$$

By applying (46) we get that

$$\|\sigma_{\alpha_k} F - F\|_{1/2}^{1/2} \geq \frac{c}{V(\alpha_k)} \| \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \right) K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \|_{1/2}^{1/2} \tag{47}$$

$$- \left(\frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k} \right)^{1/2} \|\sigma_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F - F\|_{1/2}^{1/2} - \left(\frac{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k} \right)^{1/2} \|S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}} F - F\|_{1/2}^{1/2}.$$

Let $\alpha_k = \sum_{i=1}^{r_k} \sum_{k=l_i^k}^{m_i^k} 2^k$, where $m_1^k \geq l_1^k > l_1^k - 2 \geq m_2^k \geq l_2^k > l_2^k - 2 > ... > m_s^k \geq l_s^k \geq 0$ and $E_{l_i^k} := I_{l_i^k + 1} \left(e_{l_i^k - 1} + e_{l_i^k} \right)$. By using Lemma 4 we have that

$$\int_G \left| \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \right) K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}(x) \right|^{1/2} d\mu \tag{48}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=2}^{s_k - 2} \int_{E_{l_i^k}} \left| \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|} \right) K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}(x) \right|^{1/2} d\mu(x) \geq \frac{1}{16} \sum_{i=2}^{s_k - 2} \frac{1}{2^{l_i^k}} 2^{l_i^k}$$

$$\geq c s_k \geq c V(\alpha_k).$$

By combining (47-48) we have (15) and Theorem 3 is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $0 < p < 1/2$. Under condition (16), if we repeat analogical steps of first part of Theorem 3, we can show that (5) holds.

Now, prove second part of theorem 4. Since $\sup_k d\left(n_k\right) = \infty$, there exists $\{\alpha_k : k \geq 1\} \subset \{n_k : k \geq 1\}$ such that $\sup_k d\left(\alpha_k\right) = \infty$ and

$$2^{2d(\alpha_k)(1/p-2)} \leq 2^{d(\alpha_{k+1})(1/p-2)}. \tag{49}$$

We set

$$F_A = \sum_{\{i:\ |\alpha_i|<A\}} a_i^{(p)}/2^{(1/p-2)d(\alpha_i)}.$$

Since $a_i^p(x)$ is p-atom if we apply Lemma 1 and (49) we conclude that $F \in H_p$. Analogously to (43) we can show that

$$F - S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}F = \left(0, ..., 0, \sum_{i=k}^{k+s} a_i/2^{d(\alpha_i)(1/p-2)}, ...\right), \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_+ \tag{50}$$

is martingale. By combining (49) and Lemma 1 we get that

$$\omega_{H_p}(1/2^{|\alpha_k|}, F) \tag{51}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} 1/2^{d(\alpha_i)(1/p-2)} = O\left(1/2^{d(\alpha_k)(1/p-2)}\right).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\widehat{F}(j) = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/p-2)[\alpha_k]}, & j \in \left\{2^{|\alpha_k|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_k|+1} - 1\right\},\ k = 0, 1, ... \\ 0\,, & j \notin \bigcup\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{2^{|\alpha_n|}, ..., 2^{|\alpha_n|+1} - 1\right\}. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

Analogously we can write that

$$\|\sigma_{\alpha_k}F - F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p \geq 2^{(1-2p)[\alpha_k]} \| \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}} \|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p$$

$$- \left(\frac{2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k}\right)^p \|\sigma_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}F - F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p - \left(\frac{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}{\alpha_k}\right)^p \|S_{2^{|\alpha_k|}}F - F\|_{L_{p,\infty}}^p.$$

Let $x \in E_{[\alpha_k]}$. By combining (9) and Lemma 2 we have that

$$\mu\left(x \in G : \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) \left|K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right| \geq 2^{2[\alpha_k]-4}\right) \geq \mu\left(E_{[\alpha_k]}\right) \geq 1/2^{[\alpha_k]-4},$$

$$2^{2p[\alpha_k]-4}\mu\left(x \in G : \left(\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}\right) \left|K_{\alpha_k - 2^{|\alpha_k|}}\right| \geq 2^{2[\alpha_k]-4}\right) \geq 2^{(2p-1)[\alpha_k]-4}.$$

Hence

$$\|\sigma_{n_k}F - F\|_{L_{p,\infty}} \nrightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \to \infty$$

and Theorem 4 is proved. □

References

[1] *I. Blahota, G. Tephnadze,* Strong convergence theorem for Vilenkin-Fejér means, (to appear).

[2] *G. Gát,* Inverstigations of certain operators with respect to the Vilenkin sistem, Acta Math. Hung., 61 (1993), 131-149.

[3] *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. 115 (2007), 333–340.

[4] *U. Goginava,* On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series. J. Approx. Theory 115 (2002), 9-20.

[5] *U. Goginava,* The martingale Hardy type inequality for Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of two-dimensional conjugate Walsh-Fourier series, Acta Math. Sinica, 27, (2011), 1949-1958.

[6] *U. Goginava,* Maximal operators of Fejér-Walsh means. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), 615–624.

[7] *B. Golubov, A. Efimov and V. Skvortsov,* Walsh series and transformations, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1991. Kluwer Acad. publ, 1991.

[8] *F. Móricz, A. Siddiqi*, Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series. Journal of approximation theory. 70 (1992,375-389).

[9] *K. Nagy,* Approximation by Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series. Tohoku Math. J. 64 (2012), 317-331.

[10] *J. Pál* and *P. Simon,* On a generalization of the comncept of derivate, Acta Math. Hung., 29 (1977), 155-164.

[11] *F. Schipp, W. Wade, P. Simon, J. Pál,* Walsh series, An Introduction to Duadic Harmonic Analysis, Akademiai Kiadó, Budapest-AdamHilger (Bristol-New-York), 1990.

[12] *P. Simon,* A note on the of the Sunouchi operator with respect to Vilenkin systems, Annales Univ. Sci. Sect. Math. Budapest (2001).

[13] *G. Tephnadze,* Fejér means of Vilenkin-Fourier series, Stud. sci. math. Hung., 49, (2012) 79-90.

[14] *G. Tephnadze,* A note on the norm convergence by Vilenkin-Fejér means, Georgian Mathematical Journal, (to appear).

[15] *G. Tephnadze,* Strong convergence theorems of Walsh-Fejér means, Acta Mathematica Hungarica, 142 (1) (2014), 244–259.

[16] *F. Weisz*, Cesáro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math. 22 (1996), 229-242.

[17] *F. Weisz,* Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin-Heideiberg-New York, 1994.

[18] *F. Weisz,* Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.

G. Tephnadze, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia and Department of Engineering Sciences and Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, SE-971 87, Luleå, Sweden.

E-mail address: giorgitephnadze@gmail.com